



ADDENDUM

Public Building Commission of Chicago | Richard J. Daley Center | 50 West Washington Street, Room 200 | Chicago, Illinois 60602 | (312) 744-3090 | pbccchicago.com

ADDENDUM NO.: 05
PROJECT NAME: Ernst Prussing Elementary School Annex & Renovations
PROJECT NO.: 05175
CONTRACT NO.: C1587
DATE OF ISSUE: December 6, 2017

NOTICE OF CHANGES, MODIFICATIONS, OR CLARIFICATIONS TO CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

The following changes, modifications, or clarifications are hereby incorporated and made an integral part of the Contract Documents. Unless clearly expressed otherwise by this Addendum, all terms and conditions defined in the original Contract Documents shall continue in full force and effect and shall have the same meaning in this Addendum. Issued Addenda represent responses/clarifications to various inquiries. Contractors shall be responsible for including all associated labor/material costs in its bid. Drawings/specifications corresponding to inquiry responses will be issued with the Issue for Construction Documents, upon issuance of building permit.

ITEM NO. 1: CHANGE TO KEY DATES

None.

ITEM NO. 2: REVISIONS TO BOOK 1 – PBC INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

None.

ITEM NO. 3: REVISIONS TO BOOK 2 – PBC STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

None.

ITEM NO. 4: REVISIONS TO BOOK 3 – TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

None.

ITEM NO. 5: REVISIONS TO DRAWINGS

None.

ITEM NO. 6: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

RFI-1.

Question: Please reference drawing A1.13. At the northwest corner of the new building, detail 8/A5.01 is called out. Detail 8/A5.01 shows 2 wythes of CMU, however the floor plan only shows 1 wythe. Please advise.

Response: Two wythes of CMU are provided at this detail to accommodate for a jog in the existing building wall – refer to floor plan A1.13 near column intersection 9/E.4. For the remainder of the west wall of the annex only one wythe of CMU is to be provided.

RFI-2.

Question: Detail 6/A5.21 is called out at various locations on the roof of the existing building on sheets AR.01 & AR.02. This detail is a typical parapet detail and is hatched as if it is to be rebuilt. However, the parapet is called out as existing masonry. Please confirm whether this parapet is to be rebuilt or is existing to remain.

a. If the parapet is existing to remain, please confirm whether the exterior wythe of the parapet is to be tuckpointed.

Response: For parapet rebuilding work, please refer to the elevations and elevation legend on A2.02, A2.03, and A2.04. The detail should read “masonry” instead of “existing masonry”. Bidders should also refer to the elevations for scope of tuckpointing work.

RFI-3.

Question: Please reference Boiler House Roof Demo Plan (2/AD1.31). At the existing Boiler House, it calls for the existing coping and parapet to be removed, cleaned & rebuilt. Per the proposed Boiler House Roof Plan (2/AR.03), detail 5/A5.23 is called out at the Boiler House roof. This detail calls for only the coping to be removed, salvaged & reinstalled. It does not show any work associated with removing & rebuilding the parapet. Please advise on the extent of work required at the Boiler House parapet (i.e. confirm whether the parapet is to be demolished & rebuilt or if it existing to remain with only the coping to be salvaged & reinstalled).

Response: Boiler House parapets are not required to be demolished and rebuilt – refer to exterior elevations on sheet A2.04 for façade and coping work. The referenced note from sheet AD1.31 should read “Refer to exterior details and elevations on sheet A2.04 for additional information”.

RFI-4.

Question: Please refer to demolition drawings – AD series. For example, AD 1.12 and AD2.11 show demolition and work happening in toilets, the corridors, and classrooms at various locations. G2.1 – General finish note 3 reads as follows: “All walls in existing rooms in which work is occurring...C) provide one finish coat over existing painted walls”

A. Please clarify if there is demo, repair work, or patching on one wall in a room, do we need to repaint the entire room or just the affected wall. Furthermore, if we are painting just the affected wall, do we paint the entire wall – corner to corner – or do we limit the repaint to just the affected area.

B. The hallway is a space that has LBP present and needs to be mitigated prior to painters being able to patch, prime, and repaint per general notes found on G2.1. How are we to proceed if LBP mitigation is to be done only if Alternate 1 is accepted? Is this work to be included as an add under alternate 1?

Response: **A.** If there is demo, repair work, or patching on one wall in a room, only that wall in the room should receive a finish coat, and that entire wall shall be painted corner to corner – unless noted otherwise.

B. If Alternate No. 1 is accepted, the patching and painting of mitigated areas will be included as part of the Scope of Work for Alternate No. 1.

List of Attachments and Drawings:

This Addendum includes the following attached Specifications and/or Documents:

1. None

This Addendum includes the following attached Drawings:

1. None.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 05